

## Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 STATE 189418

42

ORIGIN EB-07

INFO OCT-01 IO-10 ISO-00 L-03 FRB-01 OMB-01 TAR-01 SP-02

AGR-10 AID-05 CIAE-00 COME-00 INR-07 LAB-04 NSAE-00

OIC-02 SIL-01 STR-04 TRSE-00 CIEP-02 CEA-01 AF-06

ARA-10 EA-09 EUR-12 NEA-10 INRE-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00

SS-15 NSC-05 /129 R

DRAFTED BY EB/OT/GCP:JSLATTERY:JM

APPROVED BY EB/OT/GCP:SAHMAD

L/UNP:RSTOWE (DRAFT)

IO/CMD:DWFIGGINS

EB/ODF:FHTHOMAS

----- 028815

O 111657Z AUG 75

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO USMISSION GENEVA IMMEDIATE

UNCLAS STATE 189418

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: UNCTAD, ETRD

SUBJECT: INDUSTRIAL RESTRUCTURING RESOLUTION

REF: GENEVA 6203

FOR: USDEL UNCTAD TDB

1. GIVEN PRIVATE ENTERPRISE NATURE OF US INDUSTRY, WE  
CANNOT REPEAT NOT AGREE TO RESOLUTION AS LONG AS IT  
CONTAINS REFERENCE TO INDUSTRIAL COLLABORATION ARRANGE-  
MENTS, NOR COULD USG PARTICIPATE IN GROUP OF GOVERNMENTAL  
EXPERTS TO CONSIDER FORMULATION AND OPERATION OF SUCH  
ARRANGEMENTS. WE COULD SUPPORT RESOLUTION IF IT STATES  
CLEARLY THAT USG ACTION WOULD INVOLVE EXCHANGING INFORMA-  
TION AND OTHER APPROPRIATE MEANS OF FACILITATING INDUSTRIAL  
DEVELOPMENT OF LDC'S. USDEL SHOULD ATTEMPT TO DEVELOP  
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 STATE 189418

LANGUAGE REFLECTING THIS THOUGHT. IF AGREEMENT CANNOT BE

REACHED TO DELETE REFERENCE TO COLLABORATION ARRANGEMENTS,  
USDEL SHOULD NOT REPEAT NOT VOTE FOR RESOLUTION.

2. OUR FIRST PREFERENCE WOULD BE TO AVOID ESTABLISHMENT OF GROUP OF EXPERTS. USDEL MAY WISH TO NOTE THAT, IN ORDER TO CONSERVE LIMITED RESOURCES OF UNCTAD, WORK OF ANY EXPERT

GROUP SHOULD BE HIGH-PRIORITY AND CAREFULLY SPECIFIED. PRESENT RESOLUTION GIVES GROUP OF EXPERTS EXCEEDINGLY BROAD AND VAGUE MANDATE. THUS, USDEL SHOULD ATTEMPT TO HAVE RESOLUTION SIMPLY CALL UPON MEMBER STATES TO ENTER INTO CONSULTATIONS FOR EXCHANGING INFORMATION AND OTHER APPROPRIATE MEASURES LEADING TO INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT OF LDC'S. AS FALL-BACK, USDEL MAY SUPPORT INSTRUCTING SECRETARIAT TO STUDY MEANS OF FACILITATING SUCH INFORMATIONAL EXCHANGE AND OTHER APPROPRIATE MEASURES. IF STRONG PRESSURE DEVELOPS TO ESTABLISH EXPERT GROUP, HOWEVER, USDEL MAY SUPPORT ITS FORMATION, AS LONG AS ITS MANDATE IS APPROPRIATELY LIMITED.

3. PARAGRAPH BY PARAGRAPH COMMENTS FOLLOW:

A) FIRST TWO PARAGRAPHS IN PREAMBLE ARE ACCEPTABLE, AS LONG AS USDEL REITERATES US RESERVATIONS ON LIMA DECLARATION AS INDICATED IN STATE 96468. IF POSSIBLE, WE WOULD PREFER TO ELIMINATE REFERENCE TO 25 PERCENT TARGET IN PARA 2.

B) IN PARA 3 OF PREAMBLE, QUOTE WILL UNQUOTE SHOULD BE CHANGED TO QUOTE COULD HAVE UNQUOTE OR, AS FALL-BACK, QUOTE WOULD HAVE UNQUOTE. IF NO AGREEMENT CAN BE REACHED ON THIS CHANGE, USDEL SHOULD VOTE AGAINST THIS PARAGRAPH, ASKING FOR A SEPARATE PARAGRAPH BY PARAGRAPH VOTE IF ALL OTHER PARTS OF RESOLUTION ARE CHANGED TO USG SATISFACTION.

C) PREAMBULAR PARA 4 IS ACCEPTABLE BUT WE WOULD PREFER TO REPLACE QUOTE STRESSING UNQUOTE WITH RECOGNIZING OR RE-CALLING OR NOTING.

D) NO OBJECTION TO PARA 5 OF PREAMBLE.

E) NO OBJECTIONS TO OPERATIVE PARAS 1 AND 2.  
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 STATE 189418

F) WITH REGARD TO OPERATIVE PARAS 3, 4 AND 5, PRESENT TEXT IS UNACCEPTABLE, BUT USDEL MAY SUPPORT IF AGREEMENT IS REACHED ON APPROPRIATE LANGUAGE REFLECTING POSITIONS DESCRIBED IN PARAS 1 AND 2 OF THIS MESSAGE. USDEL MAY ALSO WISH TO ENSURE THAT REFERENCE TO QUOTE EFFECTIVE COOPERATION BETWEEN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES UNQUOTE WILL NOT BE CONSTRUED TO MEAN US ACCEPTANCE OF CARTEL-LIKE PRODUCER

ASSOCIATIONS.

G) NO OBJECTION TO OPERATIVE PARA 6.

H) NO OBJECTION TO OPERATIVE PARA 7, EXCEPT FOR REFERENCE  
TO GROUP 77 MEETING IN MANILA. USDEL SHOULD NOTE AS APPROPRIATE THAT, SINCE UNCTAD WORK SHOULD SERVE ALL MEMBERS,

MENTION OF PARTICULAR GROUP OF COUNTRIES IN SUCH RESOLUTION IS INAPPROPRIATE. SISCO

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** LESS DEVELOPED COUNTRIES, AGREEMENT DRAFT, MANUFACTURES, GOVERNMENT REACTIONS, RESOLUTIONS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 11 AUG 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** n/a  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** n/a  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:**  
**Disposition Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975STATE189418  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** JSLATTERY:JM  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** N/A  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D750276-1095  
**From:** STATE  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t19750863/aaaacdwg.tel  
**Line Count:** 125  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ORIGIN EB  
**Original Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** 75 GENEVA 6203  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** ellisooob  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 02 JAN 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <02 JAN 2003 by ReddocGW>; APPROVED <12 JAN 2004 by ellisooob>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
06 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** INDUSTRIAL RESTRUCTURING RESOLUTION  
**TAGS:** ETRD, UNCTAD  
**To:** GENEVA  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006